Maryland Historical Trust

Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties number: BA-2685,
Name: FORBOIS/HARRIS MURO, OVEZ EDAUGHS CRIC
The bridge referenced herein was inventoried by the Maryland State Highway Administration as part of the Historic Bridge Inventory, and SHA provided the Trust with eligibility determinations in February 2001. The Trust accounted the Historic Bridge Inventory on April 2, 2001. The bridge received the following

The Trust accepted the Historic Bridge Inventory on April 3, 2001. The bridge received the following determination of eligibility.

Eligibility Recommended	MARYLAND HISTOI	RICA	L TRU Eligib		lot Re	comm	ended	X	
Criteria:ABC _	D Considerations: _	_A	B _	C _	D _	E_	F _	G_	_None
Comments:							······································		
Reviewer, OPS:_Anne E. Bruder_				Date	e:3	April :	2001_		
Reviewer, NR Program:Peter E	. Kurtze			Date	e:3	April :	2001_		

MARYLAND INVENTORY OF HISTORIC BRIDGES HISTORIC BRIDGE INVENTORY MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION/MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST

MHT	No.	 BA	268	5

SHA Bridge No. B 019	$\underline{1}$ Bridge name $\underline{\mathrm{H}}$	<u> Iarris Mill Road</u>	l over Ebaughs	Creek
LOCATION:				
Street/Road name and nur	nber [facility carried] Ha	rris Mill Road		
City/town Gorsuch Mills	0.15 mi N of Bond Roa	adV	icinity	
County Baltimore				
This bridge projects over:	Road Railway	Water X	Land	
Ownership: State	County X	Municipal		Other
HISTORIC STATUS:				
Is bridge located within a National Register-	designated historic distric listed district Nat district Oth	ional Register-de	etermined-eligi	ble district
Name of district				
BRIDGE TYPE: Timber Bridge: Beam Bridge: Stone Arch Bridge	Truss -Covered	Trestle	Timber-And	-Concrete
Metal Truss Bridge _				
Movable Bridge: Swing Vertical Lift _	Bascule Single Leaf Retractile			
Metal Girder Rolled Girder Plate Girder	Rolled G	Girder Concrete l Increte Encased		·
Metal Suspension				
Metal Arch				
Metal Cantilever				
Concrete X : Concrete Arch	Concrete Slab X	Concre	ete Beam	Rigid Frame
Other Type Na	ame			· .

BA-2685

DESCRIPTION: Setting: Unbox Small town Description:
Setting: Urban Small town RuralX Describe Setting:
Bridge B0191 carries Harris Mill Road in an east-west direction over Ebaughs Creek which flows in a southerly direction. The area is relatively undeveloped with only three houses within 1/4 mile of bridge and farmland around the bridge.
Describe Superstructure and Substructure: Bridge B0191 is a two span concrete slab bridge on concrete abutments with a solid shaft pier, built in 1920. The spans are 12.5 feet and the overall length is 27.0 feet. The curb to curb width is 18.0 feet and the deck out to out width is 20.0 feet. The wingwalls are concrete of equal length flared back about 20 degrees from the centerline of the bridge. The parapets are solid concrete and integral to the deck. The roadway supports two way traffic.
The 1993 inspection report described the bridge as in fair condition but recommended the replacement of all wingwalls and the encasing of the pier footings in concrete.
Discuss Major Alterations: Baltimore County files do not indicate that any major alterations have been undertaken.
HISTORY:
WHEN was bridge built (actual date or date range)
WHY was the bridge built? The need for a more efficient transportation network and increased load capacity in the decades following World War I.
WHO was the designer? State Highway Administration
WHO was the builder? Unknown
WHY was the bridge altered? N/A
Was this bridge built as part of an organized bridge-building campaign? As part of an effort by the State to increase load capacity on secondary roads during the 1920s.
SURVEYOR/HISTORIAN ANALYSIS:
This bridge may have National Register significance for its association with: A - Events B- Person C- Engineering/architectural character
This bridge does not have National Register significance.
Was the bridge constructed in response to significant events in Maryland or local history? Reinforced concrete slab bridges are a twentieth century structure type, easily adapted to the need

for expedient engineering solutions. Reinforced concrete technology developed rapidly in the early twentieth century with early recognition of the potential for standardized design. The first U.S. attempt to standardize concrete design specifications came in 1903-04 with the formation of the Joint Committee on Concrete and Reinforced Concrete of the American Society of Civil Engineers.

Maryland's road and bridge improvement programs mirrored economic cycles. The first road improvement program of the State Roads Commission was a 7 year program, starting with the Commission's establishment in 1908 and ending in 1915. Due to World War I, the period from 1916 -1920 was one of relative inactivity; only roads of first priority were built. Truck traffic resulting from war-related factories and military installations generated new, heavy traffic unanticipated by the builders of the early road system. From 1920 to 1929, numerous highway improvements occurred in response to the increase in Maryland motor vehicles from 103,000 in 1920 to 320,000 in 1929, with emphasis on the secondary system of feeder roads which moved traffic from the primary roads built before World War I. After World War I, Maryland's bridge system also was appraised as too narrow and structurally inadequate for the increasing traffic, with plans for an expanded bridge program to be handled by the Bridge Division, set up in 1920. In 1920 under Chapter 508 of the Acts of 1920 the State issued a bond of \$3,000,000.00 for road construction; the primary purpose of these monies was to meet the state obligations involving the construction of rural post roads. The secondary purpose of these monies was to fund [with an equal sum from the counties] the building of lateral roads. The number of hard surfaced roads on the state system grew from 2000 in 1920 to 3200 in 1930. By 1930, Maryland's primary system had become inadequate to the huge freight trucks and volume of passenger cars in use, with major improvements occurring in the late 1930s. Most improvements to local roads waited until the years after World War II.

With a diverse topographical domain encompassing numerous small and large crossings, Maryland engineers quickly recognized the need for expedient design and construction.

In the early years, there was a need to replace the numerous single lane timber bridges. Walter Wilson Crosby, Chief Engineer stated in 1906, "The general plan has been to replace these [wood bridges] with pipe culverts or concrete bridges and thus forever do way with the further expense of the maintenance of expensive and dangerous wooden structures". Within a few years, readily constructed standardized bridges of concrete were being built throughout the state.

The creation of standard plans and a description of their use was first announced in the 1912-15 Reports of the State Roads Commission whereby bridges spanning up to 36 feet were to use standardized designs.

Published on a single sheet, the 1912 Standard Plans included those structures that were amenable to such an approach: slab spans, (deck) girder spans, box culverts, box bridges, abutments, and piers (State Roads Commission 1912). Slab spans, with lengths of 6 to 16 feet in two foot increments, featured a solid parapet that was integrated into the slab, with a roadway of 22 feet.

In the Report for the years 1916-1919, a revision of the standard plans was noted:

During the four years covered by this report, it has been found necessary to revise our standard plans for culverts and bridges, to take care of the increased tonnage which they have been forced to carry. Army cantonments...increased their operations several hundred per cent, and the brunt of the enormous truck traffic resulting therefrom, was borne by the State Roads of Maryland. In addition to these war activities, freight motor lines from Baltimore to Washington, Philadelphia, New York, and various points throughout Maryland, and the weight of many of these trucks when loaded, was in excess of the loads for which our early bridges were designed (State Roads Commission 1920:56).

Published on separate sheets, the new standard plans (State Roads Commission 1919) for slab bridges reveal that the major changes was an increase in roadway width from 22 feet to 24 feet and a redesign of the reinforcement. The slab spans continued to feature solid parapets integrated into the span. The range of span lengths remained 6 to 16 feet, but the next year (1920) witnessed the issue of a supplemental plan for a 20 foot long slab span (State Roads Commission 1920).

Based upon documentary evidence, Baltimore County and City were the early pioneers in concrete bridge building in Maryland. The first reinforced concrete bridge documented in Maryland was the bridge at Sherwood Station, built in 1903 by Baltimore County.

Evidence from historic maps suggests that almost all of the extant concrete slab bridges built before 1940 in Baltimore County replaced earlier bridges. With the exception of two bridges, all of these structures lie on roads whose alignments have changed little since the middle of the nineteenth century. The two exceptions are both located on Shelbourne Avenue in Arbutus. Shelbourne Avenue does not appear on the 1850 map of Baltimore County but does appear on the 1915 map. Both concrete slabs bridges on Shelbourne Avenue, however, were built after 1915. The evidence therefore suggests that these two bridges were also built to replace previous structures.

When the bridge was built and/or given a major alteration, did it have a significant impact on the growth and development of the area?

There is no evidence to suggest that the construction of this bridge had a significant impact on the growth and development of this area.

Is the bridge located in an area which may be eligible for historic designation? Would the bridge add to or detract from the historic/visual character of the potential district? This bridge is located in an area which may be eligible for historic designation. It does not contribute to the significance of the historic district.

Is the bridge a significant example of its type?


No, this bridge is an undistinguished example of a concrete slab bridge.

Does the bridge retain integrity of important elements described in Context Addendum? The character-defining elements are intact but deteriorated.

Is the bridge a significant example of the work of a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer? The bridge is not a significant example of the work a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer.

Should the bridge be given further study before an evaluation of its significance is made? No additional study will be needed before an evaluation of the significance of this bridge is made.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: County inspection/bridge files X SHA inspection/bridge files Other (list): SURVEYOR: Date bridge recorded 08/15/95 Name of surveyor Colin Farr Organization/Address P.A.C. Spero & Company, Suite 412, 40 West Chesapeake Ave., Baltimore, MD 21204 Phone number (410)296-1635 FAX number (410) 296-1670





Inventory # B	2215 M	ILL KD OL	IER.
Mama	F-134	AUGHS CIL	SCK
County/State	BALTIN	WRE COUN	TY IMO
Name of Photo	grapher	DAVED	IEHL
Date 1 9	5		
Location of N	egative .	SHA	
Description \(\)	NEST_	APPROACE	1 LOOKIN
_	EAS	T	1000 24
	ef 25 4		



Inventory # 5	PA-268	L AO OVER	EBAUGHS
County/State	BALTIM	MORE COUN	TYMP
Name of Pho	tographer _	DAVE DIE	HL'
Date 1	15		
Location of N	Negative	SHA	
Description	SOUTH	ELEVATION	LOOKING
2 Number +	of 234	THE WILLIAM	



Inventory # BA-2685 BOIGH-HARRIS MILL RO WER EBAUGHS CREEK Name County/State BALTIMUKE COUNTY MD Name of Photographer DAVE WEHL Date 195
Name of Photographer DAVE WEHL Date 195
Name of Photographer DAVE VICTOR Date
Date
6110
Location of Negative SHA
Description NORTH ELEVATION LOOKING
South
Number 42 of 23 4



Inventory # <u>BA - 2685</u>
Name CKEEK BOOVER EBANGHS
Name CREEN
Name of Photographer DAVE DIEHL
Name of Photographer DAVE DIEHL
Date \\95
C(1)
Location of Negative SHA
Description EAST APPROACH LOOKING
WEST WEST
A STATE OF THE STA
4.2. 4
Number of Of